	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/004,105	SLAGA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phyllis G. Spivack	1614
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Phyllis G. Spivack.	(3)	
(2) a representative for David G. Henry.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 August 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	٠.	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
No response was filed to a Notice of non-responsiveness mailed January 31, 2005.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)